### **Evidence table**

SARS-CoV-2 variants

4 April 2023

This is the final version of the living evidence table on SARS-CoV-2 variants. This evidence table was last updated in March 2023. This table is no longer a 'living' document and the information within it is not updated on a regular basis.

#### **Background**

Viruses constantly change through mutation and over time, new variants of a virus are expected to occur. Some variants have characteristics that have a significant impact on transmissibility, severity of disease and effectiveness of vaccines.

The World Health Organization (WHO) announced the variant naming system on 1 June 2021.

This table includes information on Omicron subvariants that are currently causing concern in the scientific community and are under monitoring by the World Health Organization. Details are tabulated when variants meet the World Health Organization (WHO) definition of Variant of Concern (VOC).

- Previously circulating VOC listed by WHO: Alpha (B.1.1.7), Beta (B.1.351), Gamma (P.1) and Delta (B.1.617.2)
- Variant of Concern lineages under monitoring (VOC-LUM) by WHO: BF.7, BQ.1, BA.2.75, CH.1.1, XBB, XBB.1.5 and XBF.
- Previously circulating Variants of Interest by WHO: Epsilon, Zeta, Eta, Theta, Iota, Kappa, Lambda, Mu
- <u>Recombinant lineages:</u> XD and XF (combination of Delta AY.4 and BA.1, XD has the Omicron S gene incorporated into a Delta genome), XE (combination of BA.1 and BA.2, with the majority of the genome including the S gene belonging to BA.2), and XBB (combination of BA.2.10.1 and BA.2.75 sublineages, i.e. BJ1 and BM.1.1.1, with a breakpoint in S1). The <u>XD recombinant</u> is now classified as a formally monitored variant (FMV) by WHO and XE is being tracked as part of the Omicron variant.

Topic	BF.7	BQ.1	BA.2.75	CH.1.1	ХВВ	XBB.1.5	XBF
Mutations	BA.5 + S:R346T It is a BA.5 sublineage.	S:V445X, S:N450D, and S:N460X	S:D339H, S:G446S, S:N460K, and S:Q493R reversion  It is a BA.2 sublineage.  BA.2.75.2 has additional spike mutations S:R346T, S:F486S, and S:D1199N.	S:L452R, S:F486S It is a BA.2.75 sublineage.	S:G339H, S:R346T, S:L368I, S:V445P, S:G446S, S:N460K, S:F486S, and S:F490S It is a recombinant of BA.2.10.1 and BA. 2.75. XBB.1.5 has an additional spike mutation S:F486P.	XBB + S:F486P	BA.5 + S:K147E, S:W152R, S:F157L, S:I210V, S:G257S, S:G339H, S:R346T, S:G446S, S:N460K, S:F486P, S:F490S  It is a recombinan t of BA.5.2.3 and CJ.1
Transmissibility	advantage over <u>BA.5</u> .  ACE2-binding		Has a growth advantage over <u>BA.5.2</u> .  ACE2-binding affinity: higher than <u>BA.4</u> , BA.5 and <u>BA.2</u>	Has a growth advantage over <u>BQ.1.1</u> .	Has a growth advantage over BA.5.2.  Has a growth advantage over BA.5.2.  ACE2-binding affinity: XBB/XBB.1: weaker than BA.2*^	Has a growth advantage over other circulating Omicron sublineages, including BQ.1.1.  ACE2-binding affinity: substantially higher than BQ.1.1 and XBB/XBB.1  XBB.1.5 has more than 1.2-fold greater relative effective reproduction number than XBB.1.	





Topic	BF.7	BQ.1	BA.2.75	CH.1.1	ХВВ	XBB.1.5	XBF
Virulence and severity	Insufficient data	Preliminary analysis of the <u>risk of hospital</u> admission following presentation to emergency care shows no increase in risk for BQ.1 compared to BA.5.	Insufficient data	Preliminary analysis of the <u>risk</u> of hospital admission followin g presentation to emergency care shows no increase in risk for CH.1.1 compared to BA.5.	Insufficient data	Preliminary analysis suggests that no change in <u>disease</u> severity.	Insufficient data
immunity	to the neutralisation antibodies induced by prior vaccination and infection than BA.4/5.  Less resistant to the neutralisation antibodies induced by prior vaccination and infection	by prior infection and vaccination (including booster) than BA.5.  BA.5-adapted bivalent booster vaccine elicited better neutralising response against BQ.1.1 than the original monovalent booster vaccine. Previous	resistant to sera from vaccinated/boosted individuals than BA.2.  Less neutralisation resistant to sera from vaccinated/boosted individuals than BA.4/5.  Less neutralisation resistant to sera from unvaccinated individuals who had	by prior infection and vaccination than BA.2, BA.4/5 and XBB.	neutralisation	XBB.1.5 is equally immune evasive as XBB.1 but slightly less resistant to neutralising antibodies induced by BA.1, BA.5, and BF.7 breakthrough infections.^  XBB.1.5 is highly resistant to monovalent vaccine elicited antibody neutralisation. Bivalent vaccine restores the antibody response.	Insufficient data





Topic	BF.7	BQ.1	BA.2.75	CH.1.1	XBB	XBB.1.5	XBF
		neutralisation.	BA.1- adapted and BA.4/5- adapted bivalent booster vaccines elicited better neutralising titres against BA.2.75 than the original monovalent booster vaccine.  elicited higher neutralising titres against BA.2.75 than against BA.4 and BA.5.  A real-life study suggested that previous infection with BA.5 or BA.4 provided better protection against BA.2.75 than previous infection with BA.1 or BA.2 (~80% versus 50%).		in individuals with a prior infection history.  A real-life study suggested that the bivalent mRNA booster vaccine effectiveness against symptomatic XBB/XBB.1.5 infection in persons who had previously received 2–4 monovalent vaccine doses ranged from 38% to 48% at 2-3 months after vaccination.		
Treatment	neutralisation	by <u>imdevimab +</u> <u>casirivimab</u> and	More sensitive to neutralisation by sotrovimab than BA.2, but less sensitive than BA.5.	Insufficient data	Not sensitive to neutralisation by imdevimab + casirivimab and tixagevimab + cligavimab.		Insufficient data





Topic	BF.7	BQ.1	BA.2.75	CH.1.1	ХВВ	XBB.1.5	XBF
		Mixed findings for BQ.1.1 sensitivity to sotrovimab. One study reported no sensitivity; while the other reported reduced sensitivity compared to BA.5 and increased sensitivity compared to BA.2.^  BQ.1.1 had similar susceptibility to remdesivir, molnupiravir and nirmatrelvir compared to the ancestral strain.	by <u>cilgavimab</u> than BA.2 and BA.5  More sensitive to neutralisation by <u>tixagevimab</u> than BA.2 and BA.5		Mixed findings for sotrovimab; In one study, XBB.1 was sensitive to neutralisation by sotrovimab,^ while in the other it was not sensitive.  Similar susceptibility to remdesivir, molnupiravir and nirmatrelvir compared to the ancestral strain.	Susceptible to neutralisation by remdesivir, molnupiravir, nirmatrelvir.	
Countries reporting detection	100	123	112		101	<u>67</u>	<u>39</u>





#### **Notes**

\*Preliminary data, not fully established, in some cases small numbers or short follow up; interpret with caution

^ Commentary grey literature, pre-peer review or news

The "last updated" date refers to the date when the evidence was last reviewed.

### **Background evidence checks**

Evidence check - Emerging variants (PDF)

Evidence check - Omicron (BA.2 sub-lineage) (PDF)

Evidence check - Omicron (B.1.1.529) variant (PDF)

Evidence check - SARS-CoV-2 variants (PDF)

Living evidence tables include some links to low quality sources and an assessment of the original source has not been undertaken. Sources are monitored regularly but due to rapidly emerging information, tables may not always reflect the most current evidence. The tables are not peer reviewed, and inclusion does not imply official recommendation nor endorsement of NSW Health.

SHPN: (ACI) 230232 | TRIM: ACI/D22/1509-06 | Edition 1



