# **Critical Intelligence Unit**

# **Evidence brief**

#### Hospital in the home

18 June 2024

## **Evidence check question**

What is the evidence for length of stay and cost-effectiveness of the hospital in the home (HITH) models as compared to inpatient care for acute conditions?

### Summary

- There is wide variability in how the hospital in the home (HITH or HIH) model is defined and operationalised (i.e., patient eligibility, governance, referral process and staffing) in the literature and across jurisdictions.<sup>1</sup> The terms hospital at home (HAT or H@H), home hospitalisation, and virtual ward are often used interchangeably with HITH, referring to care models where healthcare is delivered in patients' own homes as an alternative to inpatient care for acute or sub-acute conditions.
- HITH models distinguish themselves from other community-based services in that they are often led or managed by hospitals and deliver a level of care that is time limited and comparable to those provided in hospitals, including but not limited to clinical review diagnostics, and monitoring. Virtual care modalities are often incorporated into HITH models, alongside in-person visits.
- The definition and measurement of the length of stay in models involving HITH varies across studies, with some reporting on the length of stay while occupying a hospital bed while others reporting on the length of stay or treatment for both the hospital and HITH stays. Overall, the evidence suggests that HITH reduces the number of hospital bed days. However, the evidence on the total number of days patients receive care/treatment is mixed and some studies reported it increased with HITH compared to inpatient care only.<sup>2-6</sup>
- In Australia between 2011-2017, 3.7% of all admission to 19 principal referral hospitals included HITH care. The median length of stay for admissions with an HITH component was 7.3 days, which was longer than those who received inpatient care only (2.7 days).<sup>2</sup> For HITH admissions, there was a larger proportion of patients aged 50-80 years and patients with conditions coded as complex. The longer overall length of stay could be due to patients being selected for HITH when a prolonged stay is anticipated.<sup>2</sup>
- Two main types of HITH models are:
  - Admission avoidance (step-up model) where patients are diverted from ED or other referral routes to avoid inpatient admissions. This model was associated with lower cost, lower mortality rates and comparable or lower readmissions to inpatient care.<sup>7-9</sup>
    - In May 2024, the evaluation report for the England South East Region involving 22,000 virtual ward admissions across 29 virtual wards was released. This report was focused on admission avoidance pathways and found virtual wards to be associated with



Rapid evidence checks are based on a simplified review method and may not be entirely exhaustive, but aim to provide a balanced assessment of what is already known about a specific problem or issue. This brief has not been peer-reviewed and should not be a substitute for individual clinical judgement, nor is it an endorsed position of NSW Health.

reduced non-elective admissions and net financial benefit. However, virtual wards were also associated with widened inequalities in access to services.<sup>10</sup>

- Early supported discharge (step-down model) where patients are discharged early from hospitals to continue care at home instead of inpatient wards.<sup>6, 7</sup> This model was associated with comparable mortality, readmission and a shorter hospital length of stay to inpatient care. The findings on costs were mixed and likely due to differences in patient characteristics, interventions, cost components and cost measures, with some reporting reduced costs while others reporting increased overall costs.<sup>7, 11, 12</sup>
  - Canada's Drug Agency horizon scan report (2024) on virtual medicine wards and hospital-at-home programs which focused on supported early discharge found that those services have the potential to free up hospital beds more quickly and have similar or lower mortality and hospital admission outcomes as inpatient care.<sup>13</sup>
- In 2023, the UK National Institute for Health and Care Excellence economic evidence review concluded that according to the majority of the studies reviewed (13 out of 15), virtual wards and hospitals at home are associated with cost savings, with the key drivers being reduced hospital bed days and lower per diem cost.<sup>3</sup>
- In Australia, the existing and potential conditions for HITH were analysed in detail in a scoping review of the literature to support the review of the South Australian My Home Hospital services.<sup>14</sup>

#### **Methods**

#### PubMed search terms

"hospital in the home"[tiab] OR "hospital at home"[tiab] OR "hospital care at home"[tiab] OR "virtual ward"[tiab]

498 hits on 5<sup>th</sup> Feb 2024

#### References

- 1. Almeida N, Suarthana E. Hospital at Home: Guiding Principles for Establishing Virtual Acute Care Wards. Montreal, Canada: Technology Assessment Unit (TAU) of the McGill University Health Centre (MUHC); 2023 [cited 06 Feb 2024]. Available from: https://muhc.ca/sites/default/files/micro/m-TAU/Home\_Hospitals\_report\_2023-07-18.pdf
- Montalto M, McElduff P, Hardy K. Home ward bound: Features of hospital in the home use by major Australian hospitals, 2011–2017. Medical Journal of Australia. 2020;213(1):22-7.
- 3. National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (NICE). Virtual wards as alternative to hospital care economic evidence review. London: NICE; 2023 [cited 06 Feb 2024]. Available from: <u>https://view.officeapps.live.com/op/view.aspx?src=https%3A%2F%2Fwww.nice.org.uk%2FMedi a%2FDefault%2FAbout%2Fwhat-we-do%2FHTA%2520Lab%2Fvirtual-wards-economic-evidence-review-oct-23.docx&wdOrigin=BROWSELINK</u>
- 4. Scottish Government. Virtual Capacity in Scotland: Findings from Interviews with Pathway Representatives and a Rapid Evidence Review. [cited 06 Feb 2024]. Available from: https://www.gov.scot/binaries/content/documents/govscot/publications/research-andanalysis/2023/11/virtual-capacity-scotland-findings-interviews-pathway-representatives-rapidevidence-review/documents/virtual-capacity-scotland-findings-interviews-pathwayrepresentatives-rapid-evidence-review/virtual-capacity-scotland-findings-interviews-pathwayrepresentatives-rapid-evidence-review/govscot%3Adocument/virtual-capacity-scotland-findingsinterviews-pathway-representatives-rapid-evidence-review.pdf



Rapid evidence checks are based on a simplified review method and may not be entirely exhaustive, but aim to provide a balanced assessment of what is already known about a specific problem or issue. This brief has not been peer-reviewed and should not be a substitute for individual clinical judgement, nor is it an endorsed position of NSW Health.

- Arsenault-Lapierre G, Henein M, Gaid D, et al. Hospital-at-Home Interventions vs In-Hospital Stay for Patients With Chronic Disease Who Present to the Emergency Department: A Systematic Review and Meta-analysis. JAMA Network Open. 2021;4(6):e2111568-e. DOI: 10.1001/jamanetworkopen.2021.11568
- 6. Norman G, Bennett P, Vardy ERLC. Virtual wards: a rapid evidence synthesis and implications for the care of older people. Age and Ageing. 2023;52(1):afac319. DOI: 10.1093/ageing/afac319
- 7. Leong MQ, Lim CW, Lai YF. Comparison of Hospital-at-Home models: a systematic review of reviews. BMJ Open. 2021 Jan 29;11(1):e043285. DOI: 10.1136/bmjopen-2020-043285
- Saenger PM, Ornstein KA, Garrido MM, et al. Cost of home hospitalization versus inpatient hospitalization inclusive of a 30-day post-acute period. J Am Geriatr Soc. 2022 May;70(5):1374-83. DOI: 10.1111/jgs.17706
- 9. Hernandez C, Herranz C, Baltaxe E, et al. The value of admission avoidance: Costconsequence analysis of one-year activity in a consolidated service. medRxiv. 2023:2023.01. 05.23284217.
- 10. PPL. South East Region Virtual Wards Evaluation. London: PPL; 2024 [cited 07 Jun 2024]. Available from: <u>https://ppl.org.uk/wp-content/uploads/2024/05/ANONYMISED-South-East-Region-Virtual-Wards-Evaluation-Final-version-1.3.pdf</u>
- 11. Abdollah J, Luigi S, Alison U, et al. Length of stay and economic sustainability of virtual ward care in a medium-sized hospital of the UK: a retrospective longitudinal study. BMJ Open. 2024;14(1):e081378. DOI: 10.1136/bmjopen-2023-081378
- Megido I, Sela Y, Grinberg K. Cost effectiveness of home care versus hospital care: a retrospective analysis. Cost Effectiveness and Resource Allocation. 2023 2023/02/02;21(1):13. DOI: 10.1186/s12962-023-00424-0
- 13. (CAD) CsDA. Virtual Medicine Wards and Hospital-at-Home Programs. Vancouver: CAD; 2024 [cited 07 Jun 2024]. Available from: <u>https://www.cadth.ca/virtual-medicine-wards-and-hospital-home-programs</u>
- 14. Partington A, Schultz T, Gray J, et al. Identifying Potential Populations for Home Hospitalisation: A scoping review of the literature to support the review of the South Australian My Home Hospital service. 2022.

SHPN: (ACI) 240405 | CM: ACI/D23/4024-07 | Edition 1



Rapid evidence checks are based on a simplified review method and may not be entirely exhaustive, but aim to provide a balanced assessment of what is already known about a specific problem or issue. This brief has not been peer-reviewed and should not be a substitute for individual clinical judgement, nor is it an endorsed position of NSW Health.